

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Andreas Leroy Glenn, |) | C/A NO. 3:12-727-CMC-SVH |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | OPINION and ORDER |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| Bi-Lo Corporation; Chad J. Jowers, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |
| _____ |) | |

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint relating to injuries allegedly suffered during an incident in 2005.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(e), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Shiva V. Hodges for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On April 27, 2012, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the complaint be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff filed objections to the Report on May 3, 2012.¹

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court.

¹On the same day the Magistrate Judge issued her Report, the court received a letter from James Muhammad, purporting to "represent" Plaintiff. *See* Letter (ECF No. 27). Muhammad is a *pro se* litigant who has filed several cases before this court, and is not a licensed attorney. Muhammad lists a "federal license ID" number, but this number does not exist in relation to Mr. Muhammad.

See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b).

After conducting a *de novo* review as to Plaintiff's objections to the Report, and considering the record, the applicable law, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, the court agrees with the conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the court adopts and incorporates the Report and Recommendation by reference in this Order.

Plaintiff's objections do not overcome the infirmities of his complaint, mainly that this court does not have jurisdiction over this matter as there is no diversity of parties as is required by 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

This matter is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process on Defendants.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie
CAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina
May 7, 2012